_	Effectiveness of evidence-based interventions in promoting resilience among nursing students in
2	all years of study: an umbrella systematic review protocol
3	Kathleen Horan, RN, PhD Assistant Professor <sup>1</sup> kathleen.horan@rutgers.edu
4	Peija Zha, PhD Associate Professor <sup>2</sup> peijiaz@sn.rutgers.edu
5	Cheryl Holly, RN, EdD Professor <sup>2,3</sup> hollych@sn.rutgers.edu
6	Kimberly Sears, RN PhD, Professor <sup>4</sup> searsk@queensu.ca
7	Tracy Vitale, RN, DNP Associate Professor <sup>5</sup> trv22@sn.rutgers.edu
8	Rubab Qureshi, MD, PhD, Associate Professor <sup>2, 3</sup> <u>qureshru@sn.rutgers.edu</u>
9	Amanda Ross-White <sup>6</sup> amanda.ross-white@queensu.ca
10	Persephone Vargas, RN, DNP, Associate Professor <sup>5</sup> persephone.vargas@rutgers.edu
11	1 Division of Simulation and Clinical Learning, Rutgers University School of Nursing, Newark, NJ, USA
12	2 Division of Nursing Science, Rutgers University School of Nursing, Newark, NJ, USA
13 14	3 Northeast Institute for Evidence Synthesis and Translation, A JBI Center of Excellence at Rutgers University School of Nursing, Newark, NJ, USA
15	4 Queen's Collaboration for Health Care Quality at Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario
16	5 Division of Advanced Practice Nursing, Rutgers University School of Nursing, Newark, NJ, USA
17	6 Bracken Health Sciences Library at Queens University, Kingston, Ontario
18	
19	Corresponding author: Dr. Horan, kathleen.horan@rutgers.edu
20	

### 21 Review title

- 22 Effectiveness of evidence-based interventions in promoting resilience among nursing students in all
- 23 years of study: An Umbrella Systematic Review Protocol

### Abstract

- 25 **Objective:** This review aims to summarize the evidence on the effectiveness of evidence-based
- interventions in promoting resilience among nursing students in all years of study.
- 27 Introduction: Nursing inherently involves high-stress environments, substantial workloads, and
- 28 emotional strain. Amid these challenges, nursing resilience is vital for ensuring the quality of patient
- 29 care and protecting nurses' well-being. Nursing students learn about these problematic work
- 30 environments that they will be a part of upon graduation through courses and clinical experiences.
- 31 Understanding interventions that promote the resilience of nursing students in the context of their
- nursing education will help support their preparedness for today's challenging healthcare systems.
- 33 Inclusion criteria This review will include research syntheses about evidenced-based interventions to
- 34 promote resilience among prelicensure professional nursing students in all years of study. This can
- include those in diploma, associate degree, baccalaureate degree or pre-licensure Master of Science
- in nursing programs. Comparisons will be made across evidenced-based interventions. This review
- 37 will consider systematic reviews with and without meta-analysis that include the primary outcome
- 38 of resilience and possible secondary outcomes of emotional well-being, academic satisfaction, and
- 39 retention.
- 40 Methods: A comprehensive search will be carried out across CINAHL (EBSCOhost), MEDLINE
- 41 (EBSCOhost), Cochrane Library (Ovid), JBIES, EMBASE and Epistemonikos. The search will focus on
- 42 English-language articles without limitations on geographical location or publication dates. Two
- 43 independent reviewers will screen the titles and abstracts based on the inclusion criteria. The
- 44 selected reviews will be appraised using the JBI critical appraisal instrument for systematic reviews
- and research syntheses. Data from each review will be extracted using the JBI data extraction tool.
- The review characteristics and findings will be presented through a narrative summary and detailed
- 47 tables.
- 48 **Review registration:** PROSPERO ID: CRD420251111264

Keywords: nursing students, resilience, emotional well-being, academic satisfaction, retention,
 umbrella review

### Introduction

#### Overview

Nursing practice is known for its high-stress environments, demanding workloads, and emotional challenges. Even before entering clinical practice, nursing students encounter similar challenges in the context of pre-licensure nursing education. These challenges include learning complex content, taking high-stakes theory exams, passing skills and competency testing, completing clinical rotations in various settings, and navigating a landscape with many emotional highs and lows. Resilience can help nursing students navigate these highs and lows. Resilience is coping with stress effectively, returning from adversity, and sustaining professional performance.<sup>1</sup> Resilience can reduce the likelihood of errors, improve patient safety, and enhance overall patient satisfaction.<sup>2</sup> Resilience is important as it impacts nursing students' and nurses' mental and physical health and the overall effectiveness of care delivery.<sup>1</sup>

Nursing is synonymous with high-stress environments, demanding workloads, and emotional challenges. Developing effective strategies to promote resilience during nursing education is crucial for navigating stressful clinical learning environments. When instructors facilitate these skills, nursing students can protect their well-being and foster positive learning outcomes in the face of stressors.

Resilience has been linked to improved well-being and reduced burnout among nursing students.<sup>3</sup> Burnout has become a global health crisis, further intensified by the pandemic. According to the International Council of Nursing,<sup>4</sup> 90% of National Nursing Associations (NNAs) express concern that stress and burnout, exacerbated by increased workloads without adequate resources, are leading causes for nurses leaving or considering leaving the profession. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has heightened burnout and attrition rates among new graduate nurses, underscoring the urgent need for mental health support.<sup>5</sup> This discussion emphasizes the importance of utilizing nursing education to build resilience in nursing students, who represent the future workforce of the profession.

# **Impact of Resilience on Nursing Student Success**

To develop a robust and capable nursing workforce, it is essential to ensure that qualified nursing students receive the support they need to complete their programs successfully.

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

Unfortunately, up to 30% of prelicensure students are lost to attrition before graduation.<sup>6</sup> Resilience is associated with better success during nursing education. In a study of 554 nursing students across six nursing schools in Belgium, it was found that resilience was the only factor (including age, gender, previous education, nationality, previous education, and caring for family members) that was significantly associated with the academic outcomes studied; it was found to promote academic success and be protective against both intent to leave and dropout.<sup>7</sup> Resilience was also positively associated with learning engagement and developing a professional identity in nursing, mediating between those factors.<sup>8</sup> Students with higher resilience scores also recognized their stressors, accepted their limitations, and applied coping strategies.<sup>9</sup>

According to Crary, <sup>10</sup> nursing students face unique challenges due to the simultaneous didactic and clinical experiences they undergo, unlike other health profession students at the undergraduate level. These challenges include stress from interactions with teachers and nursing staff, rejection by peers and patients, feelings of inadequacy, self-doubt, unpreparedness, lack of knowledge and skills, fear of making mistakes, heavy workloads, and fears related to graduation, coursework, clinical, the NCLEX-RN, and time management. Incorporating resilience-building strategies into the curriculum, such as stress management workshops and reflective practices, can enhance students' resilience. 11 While some adjustments to the curriculum may be beneficial, nurse educators are unlikely to fully alleviate the everyday stressors nursing students face. Instead, the focus should be on identifying strategies to build resilience among nursing students. Resilience helps students manage stress during their education and equips them with coping mechanisms for their future careers as licensed nurses. Students can better handle challenges that often lead to academic burnout by fostering resilience, ultimately creating a healthier and more balanced academic experience. Academic burnout, characterized by chronic stress and exhaustion from prolonged academic demands, results in emotional, physical, and mental fatigue, impairing a student's performance. Studies suggest academic burnout often begins early in nursing programs and intensifies as semesters progress, especially during clinical experiences. 12,13 Although global data is unavailable, estimates indicate that 20% of nursing students experience academic burnout. 14 Factors contributing to burnout include academic workload, tests, assignments, direct patient care responsibilities, clinical environments, and interactions with educators.

## **Studying Nursing Student Resilience**

United States (US) based nursing education programs are transitioning to a competency-based framework and The American Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN) has identified the following competency: "the development of the nurse as an individual who is resilient, agile and

capable of adapting to ambiguity and change". <sup>15</sup> This falls under Domain 10 of the Essentials—Personal, Professional, and Leadership Development. <sup>15</sup> Considering it will now also be required for accreditation in the US, the importance of a better understanding of fostering resilience in nursing students is underscored.

In an integrative review of resilience in nursing students, it was concluded that key factors that promoted resilience included strong feelings of empowerment, the passage of time with an awareness of cumulative successes, and social support from significant others, peers, and faculty. From an intrapersonal standpoint, Hughes and colleagues concluded that resilience is associated with self-stewardship/self-care, emotional intelligence, optimism, and self-efficacy. Resilience also appears complex, changing over time and negatively associated with higher perceived stress levels, suggesting that effective stress management techniques may also build resilience. 17

When considering specific resilience-building strategies, the authors report that building resilience may require multi-modal interventions over time. <sup>18,19,20,21</sup> A qualitative systematic review and thematic analysis s concluded that a learning culture that included trustworthiness and integrated various strategies to support students might effectively promote resilience. <sup>19</sup> Another systematic review recommended that multi-dimensional strategies be utilized to promote resilience in nursing students, including adequate information sharing, progressive skill acquisition, and reflective learning. <sup>20</sup> Ejaz et al. <sup>18</sup> also concluded that there may be a delayed effect of strategies to build resilience, especially in undergraduate nursing students, suggesting multi-dimensional approaches implemented over time. Strout and colleagues <sup>22</sup> have implemented a longitudinal group of WellNurse interventions to promote resilience and reduce burnout, including promoting physical fitness and nutrition, mindfulness building, stress management, and peer support. These will be implemented over time and measured longitudinally, following the student's level of resilience, perceived stress, burnout, life satisfaction, BMI, and other sleep and nutritional metrics. <sup>22</sup>

Overall, ongoing support, developing adequate coping strategies for expected stressors, and deploying multiple strategies are needed to promote resilience. This makes it crucial to organize the systematic review data under this umbrella to identify further which strategies or interventions are the most effective for nursing students, our future nursing workforce.

# Review question(s)

What interventions have been used, and how effective are they in promoting resilience among prelicensure professional nursing students in all years of study?

## **Inclusion criteria**

## **Participants**

The review will consider studies promoting resilience among students in a prelicensure professional nursing program within any year of study. This can include those in diploma, associate degree, baccalaureate degree or pre-licensure Master of Science in nursing programs. Participants will be of any gender, age, race, and ethnicity. Studies of licensed practical nurses and graduate student nurses will be excluded. Studies involving other health professions students (unless nursing student data can be separated) will also be excluded.

### Interventions

This umbrella review will examine studies assessing interventions to foster resilience within this population. It will identify systematic reviews, with or without meta-analyses, that have evaluated the effectiveness of interventions based on a resilience promotion model, which encompasses physical, psychosocial, environmental, and academic organizational factors (e.g. flexible scheduling, resilience training programs). The review will consider a variety of resilience-building interventions, including mindfulness and meditation practices, prioritizing self-care, developing practical coping skills, seeking professional support when needed, and educational opportunities. We will analyse whether these interventions are implemented individually or in combination. Only interventions conducted within nursing programs will be included, and the studies must evaluate the intervention. The providers of these interventions will be identified, and they may include professionals with diverse levels of expertise.

### **Comparators**

This umbrella review will examine systematic reviews, including those with or without metaanalyses, that evaluate interventions promoting the primary outcome of resilience, with or without a comparator. The comparator may include the usual nursing curriculum or usual educational supports built into a program without a specific focus on strengthening the students' resilience. The focus will also be on possible secondary outcomes related to emotional well-being, academic satisfaction, and retention within the target population. Studies that compare these interventions to a control group, such as standard practice, will also be included. At least one intervention to enhance resilience must be part of the studies. The review will also facilitate comparisons among evidence-based interventions.

## **Outcomes**

The primary outcome in this review is resilience as measured by well-known and validated resilience scales, including but not limited to the Resilience Scale (RS), A 25-item, scale that assesses personal resilience; or the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), a widely used tool with 25 items, designed to measure resilience across various populations; or the Predictive 6-Factor Resilience Scale (PR6) which evaluates six domains of resilience-Vision, Composure, Tenacity, Reasoning, Collaboration, and Health; or the Brief Resilience Scale (BRS), a shorter scale that focuses on an individual's ability to bounce back from stress; or the Ego-Resiliency Scale (ER89), which measures psychological resilience and adaptability.

Resilience is the capacity to cope with stress effectively, bounce back from adversity, and sustain professional performance.<sup>1</sup> Resilience is thought to mitigate the negative effects of stress, thereby improving overall well-being.<sup>23</sup> As nursing students aim for competence when caring for patients, curriculums must help shape professional mindsets, values, and critical thinking skills.<sup>24</sup> Cultivated and sustained resilience is also key for nursing students to ensure academic and future career success.<sup>25</sup>

Possible secondary outcomes are emotional well-being, academic satisfaction, and retention in the nursing program. *Emotional well-being* is all about how you feel and manage your emotions daily. It is the ability to cope with life's challenges, to feel good about yourself and your relationships, and to enjoy life despite its ups and downs. Key components include self-awareness (understanding your own emotions, strengths, and weaknesses), emotional regulation (the ability to manage and respond to emotions positively), positive relationships (having fulfilled and supportive interactions with others), purpose and meaning (engaging in activities that give you a sense of purpose and accomplishment), and resilience. *Academic satisfaction* is a subjective evaluation of a student's entire educational experience, which is a factor in determining the quality of learning<sup>27</sup>. This can be affected by institutional characteristics, preparation for practice, and clinical experiences.

Satisfaction within these areas can affect students' desires to remain in nursing programs and/or the nursing profession after graduation<sup>27</sup>. *Retention* implies that higher education institutions that are successful at retaining current students are promoting program completion, maintaining competitive

recruitment numbers, and focusing on improving their ability to meet the needs of students to prepare them for their careers in a meaningful way.<sup>28</sup>

# Types of studies

To be included in this umbrella review, systematic reviews with or without meta-analyses must define resilience, measure resilience in some manner, describe the factors that enhance or impede resilience, and strategies to promote resilience. Consultation will occur between the reviewers to determine the definition's suitability. We will exclude qualitative and economic systematic reviews. We will exclude systematic reviews that used other than primary studies to conduct the review.

### Methods

- The proposed systematic review will use the JBI methodology for umbrella reviews.<sup>29</sup> This protocol has been registered in [include PROSPERO number or equivalent].
- Search strategy

- The search strategy will aim to locate published and unpublished studies. An initial limited search of MEDLINE (PubMed), CINAHL, and EMBASE was undertaken to identify articles on the topic. The text words in the titles and abstracts of relevant articles and the index terms used to describe the articles were used to develop a full search strategy for MEDLINE (PubMed). The Epistemonikos database was also searched. See Appendix A for an example. The search strategy, including all identified keywords and index terms, will be adapted for each included information source. The reference list of all studies selected for critical appraisal will be screened for additional studies.
- 224 Study selection
  - Titles and abstracts will be screened by two independent reviewers for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review. Potentially relevant studies will be retrieved in full, and their citation details will be imported into the JBI System for the Unified Management, Assessment, and Review of Information. Two independent reviewers will assess the full text of selected citations in detail against the inclusion criteria. The review will record and report Reasons for excluding full-text studies that do not meet the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements between the reviewers at each stage of the study selection process will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer. The search results will be reported in full in the final review and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic

Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram.<sup>30</sup>

### Critical Appraisal

Two independent reviewers will assess quantitative systematic reviews selected for retrieval for methodological validity before inclusion in the review using standardized critical appraisal instruments from JBI SUMARI for Umbrella Reviews. (Appendix III; JBI manual)

Authors of papers will be contacted once to request missing or additional data for clarification, where required. Any reviewer disagreements will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer. Critical appraisal results will be reported in narrative format and a table. All studies, regardless of the results of their methodological quality, will undergo data extraction and synthesis (where possible), and any apparent flaws will be noted. Critical appraisal results will be reported in narrative form and a table. Regardless of the results of their methodological quality, all syntheses will be included in the review. Any potential flaws will be described.

### Data extraction

Quantitative data will be extracted from studies included in the review by two independent reviewers using the standardized JBI data extraction tool in JBI SUMARI for Umbrella Reviews (Appendix II). Specifically, quantitative data will be composed of data-based outcomes of descriptive and/or inferential statistical tests. Data extraction will comprise review characteristics (title, first author, country, year of publication, objectives, the number of included studies), included populations (sample sizes, age, gender, clinical practice area), and search strategy (e.g., electronic databases and inclusion/exclusion criteria), the intervention studied (name/type of interventions, intervention components, type of controls, sample sizes, effect sizes).analysis and synthesis of data, overall findings and authors' conclusions. For reviews with meta-analyses, data will be extracted on effect sizes (e.g., rate ratio, risk ratio, odds ratio, mean difference, or standardized mean difference for continuous data) and corresponding 95% CIs and P values.

Any reviewer disagreements will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer. Extracted data will be presented in a Table of Included Review Characteristics. This table lists the systematic reviews and meta-analyses included in the umbrella review and relevant details such as the authors, year of publication, study design, and key findings. It will help provide transparency and allow

readers to see which studies were considered and why. We will pilot the data extraction process on five studies.

#### Data synthesis and integration

262

263

264

265

266

267268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

The presentation of the findings and results will align with the umbrella review question. Overall effect estimates extracted from systematic reviews will be presented in tabular form (Table 1 example), including the quantitative data, the number of reviews that inform the outcome, the number of participants (from included reviews), and statistical heterogeneity for each variable/outcome of interest. The tabular presentation will accompany a description of the intervention/outcome addressed that converts these findings into qualitized data (Table 2 example). This will involve transformation into textual descriptions or narrative interpretation of the quantitative results in a way that answers the review question. Assembled data will be categorized and pooled together based on similarity in meaning to produce a set of integrated findings in the form of line-of-action statements. The methodological and clinical heterogeneity of included reviews and their quality (low, moderate, or high) will be reported and considered about the overall evidence. The results of the umbrella review will be presented in a table using visual indicators (traffic light system) to represent the beneficial, neutral, and negative effects of each risk intervention. The degree of overlap of original research studies in the included research syntheses will be illustrated with a citation matrix and the corrected covered area (CCA) index will be calculated. 31 Reviews demonstrating significant overlap will undergo closer examination and may be excluded if necessary.

## Table 1 (example)

Intervention/ Outcome	Author/Yr	#studies/participants	Results/finding	Heterogeneity

### Table 2 (example)

Phenomenon of Interest	Synthesized Findings	Strategy Details

284	84
-----	----

285

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

- Certainty of Findings
- The Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) approach<sup>32</sup>
- will be employed to evaluate the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations across
- 288 reviews. This method ensures transparency and consistency in the assessment of evidence. A
- 289 Summary of Findings will present the outcomes of the included reviews. For similarities between risk
- 290 factors and interventions, the GRADE approach will assess the quality of evidence by considering the
- risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias. Based on these factors,
- the quality of evidence will be either downgraded or upgraded, with all adjustments thoroughly
- documented. The quality of evidence will be classified as high, moderate, low, or very low.

### References

- Delgado C, Upton D, Ranse K, Furness T, Foster K. Nurses' resilience and the emotional labour of nursing work: An integrative review of empirical literature. Int J Nurs Stud. 2017;70:71–88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.02.008
  - 2. Han P, Duan X, Jiang J, Zeng L, Zhang P, Zhao S. Experience in the development of nurses' personal resilience: A meta-synthesis. Nurs Open. 2023;10(5):2780–92. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1556
- 30. Ríos-Risquez MI, García-Izquierdo M, Sabuco-Tebar E de LÁ, Carrillo-Garcia C, Solano-Ruiz C.
   30. Connections between academic burnout, resilience, and psychological well-being in nursing
   30. students: A longitudinal study. J Adv Nurs. 2018;74(12):2777–84. Available from:
   30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jan.13794
- International Council of Nursing (2021). The global nursing shortage and nurse retention.
   Retrieved from https://www.icn.ch/sites/default/files/inline-files/ICN%20Policy%20Brief\_Nurse%20Shortage%20and%20Retention.pdf
- McMillan K, Akoo C, Catigbe-Cates A. New graduate nurses navigating entry to practice in the Covid-19 pandemic. Can J Nurs Res. 2023;55(1):78–90. Available from:
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/08445621221150946
- Doggrell SA, Schaffer S. Attrition and success rates of accelerated students in nursing courses: a systematic review. BMC Nurs. 2016;15(1):24. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12912-016-0145-7
- Van Hoek G, Portzky M, Franck E. The influence of socio-demographic factors, resilience and stress reducing activities on academic outcomes of undergraduate nursing students: A cross-sectional research study. Nurse Educ Today. 2019;72:90–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.10.013
- 8. Lin Y, Chen Y, Peng Y, Zhang X, Liao X, Chen L. Mediating role of resilience between learning engagement and professional identity among nursing interns under COVID-19: A cross-sectional study. Nurs Open. 2023;10(6):4013–21. Available from:
- 321 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1660

322 323 324 325	9.	Ching SSY, Cheung K, Hegney D, Rees CS. Stressors and coping of nursing students in clinical placement: A qualitative study contextualizing their resilience and burnout. Nurse Educ Pract. 2020;42(102690):102690. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2019.102690
326 327 328	10.	Crary P. Beliefs, behaviors, and health of undergraduate nursing students. Holist Nurs Pract [Internet]. 2013;27(2):74–88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/hnp.0b013e318280f75e
329 330	11.	Monforto K, Mancini E. Nursing student stress, role-modeling self-care. Am Nurse J. 2023;18(11). Available from: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.51256/anj1123101">http://dx.doi.org/10.51256/anj1123101</a>
331 332 333 334	12.	Rudman A, Gustavsson JP. Burnout during nursing education predicts lower occupational preparedness and future clinical performance: a longitudinal study. Int J Nurs Stud [Internet]. 2012;49(8):988–1001. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.03.010
335 336 337 338	13.	Valero-Chillerón MJ, González-Chordá VM, López-Peña N, Cervera-Gasch Á, Suárez-Alcázar MP, Mena-Tudela D. Burnout syndrome in nursing students: An observational study. Nurse Educ Today [Internet]. 2019;76:38–43. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.01.014
339 340 341	14.	Vasconcelos EM de, Trindade CO, Barbosa LR, Martino MMF de. Predictive factors of burnout syndrome in nursing students at a public university. Rev Esc Enferm USP [Internet]. 2020;54:e03564. Available from: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1980-220X2018044003564">http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1980-220X2018044003564</a>
342 343 344	15.	American Association of Colleges of Nursing (2021). The Essentials: Core Competencies for Professional Nursing Education. Available from: https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/0/PDFs/Publications/Essentials-2021.pdf
345 346	16.	Thomas LJ, Revell SH. Resilience in nursing students: An integrative review. Nurse Educ Today. 2016;36:457–62. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2015.10.016
347 348 349	17.	Hughes V, Cologer S, Swoboda S, Rushton C. Strengthening internal resources to promote resilience among prelicensure nursing students. J Prof Nurs. 2021;37(4):777–83. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2021.05.008
350 351 352	18.	Keating SR, Fairbanks C. A new look at resilience in nursing students: Stress, social media, and student athletes. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2025;46(1):37–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.NEP.000000000001260
353 354 355	19.	Amsrud KE, Lyberg A, Severinsson E. Development of resilience in nursing students: A systematic qualitative review and thematic synthesis. Nurse Educ Pract. 2019;41(102621):102621. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2019.102621
356 357 358	20.	Diffley DM, Duddle M. Fostering resilience in nursing students in the academic setting: A systematic review. J Nurs Educ. 2022;61(5):229–36. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20220303-03
359 360 361 362	21.	Ejaz H, Sultan B, Pienaar AJ, Froelicher ES. Effectiveness of a resilience-focused educational program for promoting resilience in nursing students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurse Educ Pract. 2024;78(104014):104014. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2024.104014

363 364 365 366 367	22.	Strout K, Schwartz-Mette R, McNamara J, Parsons K, Walsh D, Bonnet J, et al. Wellness in nursing education to promote resilience and reduce burnout: Protocol for a holistic multidimensional wellness intervention and longitudinal research study design in nursing education. JMIR Res Protoc. 2023;12:e49020. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.2196/49020
368 369 370	23.	Li Z-S, Hasson F. Resilience, stress, and psychological well-being in nursing students: A systematic review. Nurse Educ Today. 2020;90(104440):104440. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104440
371 372 373	24.	Gao Z, Wei X, Yang L, Cui D, Kong L, Qi L, et al. Mediating role of career self-efficacy between clinical learning environment and professional identity in nursing students. J Adv Nurs. 2022;78(4):1012–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jan.15027
374 375 376	25.	Mayer KA, MacMillan NK, Linehan KJ. Student perspectives on sources of resilience during nursing school. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2022;43(6):E59–61. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.NEP.000000000000994
377 378 379	26.	Sisto A, Vicinanza F, Campanozzi LL, Ricci G, Tartaglini D, Tambone V. Towards a transversal definition of psychological resilience: A literature review. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019;55(11):745. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/medicina55110745
380 381 382	27.	Ramos AM, Barlem JGT, Lunardi VL, Barlem ELD, Silveira RS da, Bordignon SS. Satisfaction with academic experience among undergraduate nursing students. Texto Contexto Enferm. 2015;24(1):187–95. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0104-07072015002870013
383 384 385	28.	Addison L, Williams D. Predicting student retention in higher education institutions (HEIs). High Educ Ski Work-based Learn. 2023;13(5):865–85. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/heswbl-12-2022-0257
386 387 388 389	29.	Aromataris E, Fernandez R, Godfrey CM, Holly C, Khalil H, Tungpunkom P. Summarizing systematic reviews: Methodological development, conduct and reporting of an umbrella review approach. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(3):132–40. Available from: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/xeb.0000000000000055">http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/xeb.00000000000000055</a>
390 391 392	30.	Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71. Available from: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71">http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71</a>
393 394 395 396	31.	Kirvalidze M, Abbadi A, Dahlberg L, Sacco LB, Calderón-Larrañaga A, Morin L. Estimating pairwise overlap in umbrella reviews: Considerations for using the corrected covered area (CCA) index methodology. Res Synth Methods [Internet]. 2023;14(5):764–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1658
397 398 399	32.	M. Introduction to the GRADE tool for rating certainty in evidence and recommendations. Clin Epidemiol Glob Health [Internet]. 2024;25(101484):101484. Available from: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2023.101484">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2023.101484</a>
400		
401		
402		
403		

## Appendix I: Search strategy

404 405

406

- Updated with new terms
- 407 Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to June 17, 2025>

- 409 1 Students, Nursing/ 32100
- 410 2 (nurs\* adj2 student\*).mp. 43273
- 411 3 education, nursing/ or education, nursing, associate/ or education, nursing, baccalaureate/
- 412 or education, nursing, diploma programs/ 59956
- 413 4 stress, psychological/ or burnout, psychological/ or burnout, professional/ or caregiver
- burden/ or emotional exhaustion/ or financial stress/ or occupational stress/ or compassion fatigue/
- 415 or subjective stress/ or time pressure/ 158252
- 416 5 (resilience or resilient or resiliency or wellbeing or well-being or "educational satisfaction" or
- 417 hardiness or "bounce back" or adaptation or adjustment or endurance or tenacity or perseverance
- or adaptability or "psychological capital" or fortitude or flexibility or toughness or (ability adj2
- (endure or adapt or cope or thrive)) or self-efficacy or "self efficacy").mp. [highlighted terms were
- 420 added based on review from the team] 1032052
- 421 6 exp Mental Disorders/pc [Prevention & Control] 56399
- 422 7 exp anxiety/pc or depression/pc or emotional exhaustion/pc or exp psychological distress/pc
- 423 or sadness/pc 10756
- 424 8 or/1-3 82788
- 425 9 or/4-7 1206801
- 426 10 8 and 9 6259
- 427 11 (systematic review or scoping review).ti. or scoping review.ab. or meta-analysis.pt. or meta-
- analysis.ti. or systematic literature review.ti. or this systematic review.tw. or pooling project.tw. or
- 429 (systematic review.ti,ab. and review.pt.) or meta synthesis.ti. or meta-analy\*.ti. or integrative
- 430 review.tw. or integrative research review.tw. or rapid review.tw. or umbrella review.tw. or
- 431 consensus development conference.pt. or practice guideline.pt. or drug class reviews.ti. or cochrane
- database syst rev.jn. or acp journal club.jn. or health technol assess.jn. or evid rep technol assess
- 433 summ.jn. or jbi database system rev implement rep.jn. 517622
- 434 12 (clinical guideline and management).tw.833
- 435 13 (evidence based.ti. or evidence-based medicine.sh. or best practice\*.ti. or evidence
- 436 synthesis.ti,ab.) and (((review.pt. or diseases category.sh. or behavior.mp.) and behavior
- 437 mechanisms.sh.) or therapeutics.sh. or evaluation studies.pt. or validation studies.pt. or guideline.pt.
- 438 or pmcbook.mp.) 755
- 439 14 (systematic or systematically).tw. or critical.ti,ab. or study selection.tw. or ((predetermined
- or inclusion) and criteri\*).tw. or exclusion criteri\*.tw. or main outcome measures.tw. or standard of
- 441 care.tw. or standards of care.tw. 2006614
- 442 15 (survey or surveys).ti,ab. or overview\*.tw. or review.ti,ab. or reviews.ti,ab. or search\*.tw. or
- handsearch.tw. or analysis.ti. or critique.ti,ab. or appraisal.tw. or (reduction.tw. and (risk.sh. or
- risk.tw.) and (death or recurrence).mp.) 4738707
- 445 16 14 and 15 783678
- 446 17 (literature or articles or publications or publication or bibliography or bibliographies or
- 447 published).ti,ab. or pooled data.tw. or unpublished.tw. or citation.tw. or citations.tw. or
- database.ti,ab. or internet.ti,ab. or textbooks.ti,ab. or references.tw. or scales.tw. or papers.tw. or
- datasets.tw. or trials.ti,ab. or meta-analy\*.tw. or (clinical and studies).ti,ab. 3838916
- 450 18 treatment outcome.sh. or treatment outcome.tw. or pmcbook.mp. 1217969

```
451
       19
               17 or 18
                              4785929
452
       20
               16 and 19
                              520774
453
       21
               11 or 12 or 13 or 20
                                     691574
454
       22
               (letter or newspaper article).pt. 1283095
455
       23
               21 not 22 [Lines 11-23: CADTH search hedge for systematic reviews with alterations to add
456
       scoping reviews]
                              681562
457
               Meta-Analysis as Topic/ 24430
       24
458
       25
               meta analy$.tw.
                                     311402
459
       26
               metaanaly$.tw. 2728
460
       27
               Meta-Analysis/ 205323
461
               ((systematic or scoping) adj (review$1 or overview$1)).tw.
       28
                                                                            363877
       29
462
               exp Review Literature as Topic/ 25752
463
       30
               or/24-29
                              538741
464
       31
               cochrane.ab.
                              154038
465
       32
                              178443
               embase.ab.
466
       33
               (psychlit or psyclit).ab. 919
467
       34
               (psychinfo or psycinfo).ab.
                                             66615
                                      53072
468
       35
               (cinahl or cinhal).ab.
469
       36
               science citation index.ab.
                                             3987
470
       37
               bids.ab.739
471
               cancerlit.ab.
                              640
       38
472
       39
               or/31-38
                              282514
473
       40
               reference list$.ab.
                                      23414
474
       41
               bibliograph$.ab.
                                     24077
475
       42
               hand-search$.ab.
                                     9059
476
       43
               relevant journals.ab.
                                     1409
477
       44
               manual search$.ab.
                                     6665
478
       45
               or/40-44
                              58110
479
       46
               selection criteria.ab.
                                     38246
480
                                      37344
       47
               data extraction.ab.
481
       48
               46 or 47
                              72787
482
       49
               Review/
                              3360929
483
       50
               48 and 49
                              38001
484
       51
                              1039347
               Comment/
485
               Letter/ 1264815
       52
       53
                              700277
486
               Editorial/
       54
487
               animal/7479620
488
               human/22126177
       55
489
       56
               54 not (54 and 55)
                                     5210278
490
       57
               or/51-53,56
                              7401362
491
       58
               30 or 39 or 45 or 50
                                     628301
492
       59
               58 not 57 [Lines 24-59: SIGN search hedge for systematic reviews with alterations to add
       scoping reviews]
493
                              599584
494
               23 or 59
                              791732
       60
495
       61
               10 and 60
                              276
496
```

497 <a href="https://proxy.queensu.ca/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com?T=JS&NEWS=N&PAGE=main&SHAREDS">https://proxy.queensu.ca/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com?T=JS&NEWS=N&PAGE=main&SHAREDS</a>

498 EARCHID=4JixhtU1nvltGUrrwCH3WNYRUNf0U3YuJokfJHURBAuKAHunA6Pez47hoNSlcuqjp

```
499
500
       Embase Classic+Embase <1947 to 2025 June 17th>
501
502
       1
               exp nursing student/
                                      35693
               (nurs* adj2 student*).mp.
503
       2
                                             44612
504
       3
               exp nursing education/ 98290
505
       4
               exp psychological resilience/ or exp mental stress/ or exp burnout/
                                                                                   233533
506
       5
               (resilience or resilient or resiliency or "emotional wellbeing" or "emotional well-being" or
507
       "educational satisfaction" or hardiness or "bounce back").mp. 98270
508
               exp mental disease/pc or exp anxiety/pc or exp mood disorder/pc or sadness/ or exp anxiety
509
       disorder/pc
                      67875
               or/1-3 118632
510
       7
511
               or/4-6 376215
       8
512
       9
               exp Meta Analysis/
                                      329292
513
               ((meta adj analy$) or metaanalys$).tw. 399090
       10
               ((systematic or scoping) adj (review$1 or overview$1)).tw.
514
       11
                                                                            436417
515
       12
               or/9-11 672853
516
       13
               cancerlit.ab.
                              759
517
       14
               cochrane.ab.
                              195423
518
       15
               embase.ab.
                              222848
519
               (psychlit or psyclit).ab. 1013
       16
520
       17
               (psychinfo or psycinfo).ab.
                                             63978
521
       18
               (cinahl or cinhal).ab.
                                      61841
522
       19
               science citation index.ab.
                                             4595
523
       20
               bids.ab.941
524
       21
               or/13-20
                              338117
525
       22
               reference lists.ab.
                                      25150
526
       23
               bibliograph$.ab.
                                      35026
527
       24
               hand-search$.ab.
                                      11092
                                      7819
528
       25
               manual search$.ab.
529
       26
               relevant journals.ab.
                                      1670
530
       27
               or/22-26
                              73408
531
       28
               data extraction.ab.
                                     45554
532
       29
               selection criteria.ab.
                                     47945
533
               or/28-29
                              90636
       30
534
       31
               review.pt.
                              3317463
535
       32
               30 and 31
                              41046
536
       33
               letter.pt.
                              1338586
537
       34
               editorial.pt.
                              820244
538
       35
               animal/2174513
539
       36
               human/28182700
540
       37
               35 not (35 and 36)
                                      1645649
541
       38
               or/33-34,37
                              3785628
542
       39
               12 or 21 or 27 or 32
                                      780672
543
       40^{1}
               39 not 38
                              761981
```

<sup>1</sup> SIGN Systematic Reviews filter, with scoping reviews added: <a href="https://www.sign.ac.uk/using-ourguidelines/methodology/search-filters/">https://www.sign.ac.uk/using-ourguidelines/methodology/search-filters/</a>

Page 16

(systematic review or meta-analysis).pt. 0 meta-analysis/ or systematic review/ or systematic reviews as topic/ or meta-analysis as topic/ or "meta analysis (topic)"/ or "systematic review (topic)"/ or exp technology assessment, biomedical/ or network meta-analysis/ 702112 ((systematic\* adj3 (review\* or overview\*)) or (methodologic\* adj3 (review\* or overview\*))).ti,ab,kf. ((quantitative adj3 (review\* or overview\* or synthes\*)) or (research adj3 (integrati\* or overview\*))).ti,ab,kf. ((integrative adj3 (review\* or overview\*)) or (collaborative adj3 (review\* or overview\*)) or (pool\* adj3 analy\*)).ti,ab,kf. (data synthes\* or data extraction\* or data abstraction\*).ti,ab,kf.56815 (handsearch\* or hand search\*).ti,ab,kf. 14304 (mantel haenszel or peto or der simonian or dersimonian or fixed effect\* or latin square\*).ti,ab,kf. (met analy\* or metanaly\* or technology assessment\* or HTA or HTAs or technology overview\* or technology appraisal\*).ti,ab,kf. (meta regression\* or metaregression\*).ti,ab,kf. 20674 (meta-analy\* or metaanaly\* or systematic review\* or biomedical technology assessment\* or bio-medical technology assessment\*).mp,hw. 830823 (medline or cochrane or pubmed or medlars or embase or cinahl).ti,ab,hw. (cochrane or (health adj2 technology assessment) or evidence report).jw. (comparative adj3 (efficacy or effectiveness)).ti,ab,kf. (outcomes research or relative effectiveness).ti,ab,kf. ((indirect or indirect treatment or mixed-treatment or bayesian) adj3 comparison\*).ti,ab,kf. (meta-analysis or systematic review).md. (multi\* adj3 treatment adj3 comparison\*).ti,ab,kf. (mixed adj3 treatment adj3 (meta-analy\* or metaanaly\*)).ti,ab,kf. umbrella review\*.ti,ab,kf. (multi\* adj2 paramet\* adj2 evidence adj2 synthesis).ti,ab,kf. (multiparamet\* adj2 evidence adj2 synthesis).ti,ab,kf. (multi-paramet\* adj2 evidence adj2 synthesis).ti,ab,kf. 30 or/41-63 40 or 64 7 and 8 and 65 141 

# Appendix II: Draft data extraction instrument

### 581 Information extracted from each included review should include the following:

citation details
 objectives of the included review
 type of review
 participant details
 setting and context
 number of databases sourced and searched
 date range of database searching

589 590 591 592 593 594 595	9. 10. 11. 12.	publication date range of studies included in the review that inform each outcome of interest number of studies, types of studies, and country of origin of studies included in each review instrument used to appraise the primary studies and the rating of their quality outcomes reported that are relevant to the umbrella review question method of synthesis/analysis employed to synthesize the evidence and comments or notes the umbrella review authors may have regarding any included study	
596	Most o	f this information will appear in the umbrella review report's Table of Included Review	
597	Characteristics		
598	Appe	ndix III: Critical Appraisal	
599	1.	Is the review question clearly and explicitly stated?	
600	2.	Were the inclusion criteria appropriate to the review question	
601	3.	Was the search strategy appropriate?	
602	4.	Were the sources and resources used adequate?	
603	5.	Were the criteria for appraising studies appropriate?	
604	6.	Was the critical appraisal conducted by two reviewers independently?	
605	7.	Were the methods used to combine studies appropriate?	
606	8.	Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	
607	9.	Were the recommendations for policy/practice supported by the reported data?	

10. Were the specific directives for new research appropriate?