

Improving Communication via Electronic Handoff in the ICU

Authors: Chris Alfano, BSN, RN, CCRN;

Amita Avadhani, PhD, DNP, CNE, DCC, ACNP-BC, NP-C, CCRN, FAANP, FCCM, RN; Balraj Singh, DNP, RN, CNE, CCRN-CSC.

Introduction

The purpose of this QI project is to increase utilization of an electronic handoff ("e-handoff") tool.

The problem is:

- Handoff in the ICU involves large volumes of information.
- · Despite evidence emphasizing the need, handoff is still prone to errors.
- In the current setting, a CV-ICU, there is no standard method taught or required for shift handoff.

However, there is an EMR-integrated handoff tool readily available, but it is extremely underutilized by the staff.

Background/Significance Why Handoff?

- Handoff communication drives every healthcare encounter.
- The single greatest tragedy during inpatient care is a preventable injury caused by miscommunication.
- Medical errors related to poor communication remain unacceptably high.
- Poor communication can trigger a cascading series of failures that adversely affect patient care.

Why e-handoff?

- · standardized e-handoff tool decreases errors, sentinel events, and increases provider/patient satisfaction.
- handoff content in real time. (provides autopopulation of objective data from the EMR to improve handoffs.)

The EMR is the gold standard where all members of the multi-disciplinary team look to get the most up-to-date information.

Methods

A prospective observational study of shift handovers at 7am, 11am, and 7pm will be assessed for e-handoff compliance.

 50 direct handoff observations were used to explore shift handover communication, and process, in relation to e-handoff compliance.

Interventions:

- · Collect baseline compliance data (thru anonymous web-survey).
- Re-implement the e-handoff tool:
 - · Conduct staff education through Health Stream.
 - · Go live with the e-handoff tool.
- Evaluate compliance.

Results

Results showed compliance rates increased from 0% pre-intervention to 24% post intervention: a total increase in compliance rates of 24%. This indicated a positive correlation between ehandoff education and e-handoff tool usage. Support from senior staff and buy-in from the frontline all contributed to the success of the re-implementation.

| Compliance rates | | Pre intervention | Post Intervention | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| E-handoff used 0% | | 0% | 24% | |
| Questionnaire results | | | Percentage | |
| Q1 – Which method o | f handoff do you currently | use? (select all that app | ly) | |
| EMR integrated handoff (also known as electric handoff or e-ha Other Printed (example: interim care summary) | | | ndoff) | 0% 1.72% 25.86% |
| Verbal | | | 62.07% | |
| Written | | | | 10.34% |
| Questionnaire results | | | Yes | No |
| Q2 - Do you review online documentation prior to giving/receiving reports (i.e., labs, VS, meds, history, etc.)? | | | 84.21% | 15.79% |
| Questionnaire results | | | Yes | No |
| Q11 - Do you receive the patient as you expected based on the report you were given? | | | 62.79% | 37.21% |
| Q12 - Which system barrier | s have you experienced that imp | ede giving/receiving rep | | |
| 23% background noise | 28% frequent interruptions | 20% insufficient staffing | | |

Discussion

Improving handoff processes is a staple of the healthcare industry; regulatory guidelines have dictated improvements be made to insure safe transitions of care. The literature reviewed supports providing an e-handoff tool to improve handoff guality and standardize the handoff process; helping ICU staff to gather pertinent information efficiently and concisely would in turn streamline the handoff process and increase utilization of the e-handoff tool.

Facilitators to the project success included:

- support from leadership and unit educator.
- Increased face-time with staff kept project momentum.

Barriers included:

- Lag time between email "consent phase" and "survey phase" required increased facetime to keep project momentum.
- · Poor survey design.

Unintended consequences:

· Announcing leadership presence to view "bedside handoff" simultaneously as project "observations" began had unexpected positive impact on handoff process productivity and compliance.

Implications for clinical practice include that a consistent and structured approach to handoff communications, that are provided from an EMR-based e-handoff tool, could help maintain information and patientmanagement continuity between providers; being able to provide handoff content in "real time" can decrease the chances for communication-related

Reference



Contact Information principal investigator, Chris Alfano at (908) 202-8854, or email: chris.alfano@rutgers.edu

