RUTGERS Education Intervention to Improve Knowledge and Screening for Sickle Cell Carrier Status among

School of Nursing Anthonia Ajimavo, MSN, AGNP-C Irina Benenson, DNP, FNP-C Sallie Porter, PhD, DNP, APN, RNBC, CPNP, FNAP

INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND & SIGNIFICANCE

- Sickle cell trait is a heterozygous carrier state not a disease
- Sickle cell disease is an autosomal recessive disorder that occurs when and individual inherit gene "s" from each of their parents
- In the United States, SCT is identified through the . newborn screening program when SCD is identified.
- About 2 million people in the US have SCT.
- 1 in every 13 Blacks or African Americans are born with SCT.
- Newborn screening is done to every newborn in all states since 2006
- Lack of knowledge of sickle cell trait status can lead to unintentional production of an offspring with SCD.
- SCD has poor prognosis as 1% of children born with the disease die within their first 3 years of life.
- In the US, the median age of survival of people living with SCD is 58 years.

METHODS

- A Quasi experimental design
- African American church in Brooklyn
- Participants: individuals between the ages 15-45, able to speak and write in English, and are without the diagnosis of SCD or SCT. 32 PARTICIPANTS
- Pre-post-post test and educational intervention
- 10-item SCTKQ with 2 questions of intent will be measured
- SPSS was used for statistical analysis
- Wilcoxon Signed Ranked test to compare score taken at all 3 time points.
- Chi-Square- intention to screen
- Frequency- action towards screening

DEMOGRAPHY

- 32 participants
- African Americans: M = 11, F = 21
- Parent = 13, Non-parent = 19
- College Educated = 29, vocational or less = 3
- Age group: 18-27=6; 28-37=15; 38-45=11

RESULTS

Increase in sickle cell trait knowledge

- Pre & immediate post intervention . P = 0.002
- Pre & 2-week post intervention. P = 0.001
- Increase in number of participants who intended to obtain screening
 - Pre intervention; N = 19
 - Immediate & 2-week post intervention: N = 26
- No statistical significance in intention to obtain screening; p = 0.346

Participant's action towards screening

Took Action: 26

No action: 6

SCTKQ SCORE SD P VALUE MEAN SCORE PRE-7.03 2.571 INTERVENTION 8.59 1.898 0.002 IMMEDIATE **POST** INTERVENTION 2 WEEK POST 8.49 1.883 0.001 INTERVENTION

DISCUSSION

Implications to Clinical practice, healthcare policy, healthcare safety, education, and economy.

- Provider's role in education, screening, referral, and documentation
- Policy addressing notification, EHR documentation, and follow-up
- Education to reducing incidence of SCD and health outcomes
- Formal and informal education setting, groups, individual
- Cost of prevention is less than treatment cost.

CONCLUSION

Public education strategies, screening policies, and standardized reporting should be developed to improve healthcare outcomes of this population

REFERENCES

Abboud, M., Tschilolo, L., Montalembert, M., Ware, R. (2017). Sickle cell disease. *Lancet*, 311-323. Brand, D. J. (2017). The African American Church: A change agent for health. ABNF Journal, 28(4), 109-113. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2017. Sickle Cell Disease. Retrieved from:

https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/sicklecell/facts.html Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. 2019. Blood Disorders and Blood safety. Retrieved from https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives/topic/blood-disorders-and-blood-safety

CONTACT

Anthonia Ajimavo, MSN, AGNP-C ajimavas@sn.rutgers.edu 646-918-0843